```
1
     F5DVTERC
 1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
     ----x
 2
 3
    IN RE: TERRORIST ATTACKS
 3
    ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2001,
                                             03 MDL 1570 (GBD)(FM)
 4
     ----x
 5
 5
 б
                                             New York, N.Y.
 6
                                             May 13, 2015
 7
                                             10:15 a.m.
 7
 8
    Before:
 8
9
                            HON. FRANK MAAS,
9
10
                                             Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
                               APPEARANCES
14
14 For Plaintiffs:
15
15 KREINDLER & KREINDLER
16 BY: JAMES P. KREINDLER
16
          ANDREW J. MALONEY
17
17 ANDERSON KILL
18 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
          BRUCE STRONG
18
19
19
   MOTLEY RICE
20
    BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE
20
21 COZEN O'CONNOR
21 BY: SEAN P. CARTER
22
23
24
25
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

```
2
     F5DVTERC
 1
                        APPEARANCES (continued)
 2
 2
    For Defendants:
 3
 3
 4
    BERNABEI & WACHTEL
    BY: ALAN R. KABAT
 5
   SALERNO & ROTHSTEIN
 6
    BY: PETER C. SALERNO
 6
         AMY ROTHSTEIN
 7
 7
    LAW OFFICE OF OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI
 8
    BY: OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI
 8
9
    MARTIN F. McMAHON & ASSOCIATES
9
    BY: MARTIN F. McMAHON
10
    LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER MANNING
10
11
    BY: CHRISTOPHER MANNING
11
12 MOLO LAMKEN
12 BY: ROBERT K. KRY
13
13 CLIFFORD CHANCE
14 BY: STEVEN COTTREAU
          RONI E. BERGOFFEN
14
15
15 LEWIS BAACH
16 BY: AISHA E. HENRY
16
          WALEED NASSAR
17
17 GOETZ & ECKLAND
18 BY: FREDERICK J. GOETZ
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

F5DVTERC

THE COURT: Okay.

Anything else on the plaintiffs' side?

MR. CARTER: The last issue, your Honor, that we had raised was we're still a bit troubled by the timing of the production by Al Haramain USA of the collection of documents relating to its efforts to persuade the United Nations and the U.S. to lift the sanctions that have been imposed upon Al Haramain USA for the stated purpose of allowing the remaining director to dissolve the entity and disburse its remaining assets.

I think the timing of the production troubles us based on the track record with the defendant, along with the fact that the Court is presently in the process of considering a fee application that would result in the imposition of monetary sanctions.

Obviously the disbursement of the remaining assets would remove from our reach assets that we might otherwise pursue in satisfaction of either a fee award or with respect to the default judgment that's previously been issued as to the parent organization in Saudi Arabia.

THE COURT: Presumably the default judgment would dwarf anything that you might gain by way of legal fees.

MR. KABAT: Your Honor, may I briefly speak to that?

THE COURT: Please.

MR. KABAT: We represent all the defendants who SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5DVTERC

plaintiff alleged have not produced anything.

One group of them, the current and former officers of the Muslim World League and the International Islamic Relief Organization do not have personal control and custody of the MWL or the IIRO documents. Instead, what has happened, the Muslim World League and the IIRO have produced some 345,000 pages of documents which essentially are the documents that plaintiff requested from the individual officers of those entities. So it would be pointless for our firm to have to go through the 345,000 documents that the other firm has produced and say, Okay, this one is responsive for Dr. Al-Turki, this one is responsive for Dr. Naseef.

THE COURT: All of that is interesting, but I'm not sure it responds to the point that Mr. Carter was making with respect to Al Haramain. He doesn't want to see money walk out the door, and I'm not sure --

MR. KABAT: I'm sorry, your Honor. I didn't hear Mr. Carter say -- I know he talked about the other group of defendants they were complaining about.

THE COURT: Let me revert back to Mr. Carter.

Tell us again what it is you're seeking and why.

MR. CARTER: Your Honor, I take it at this point we are simply requesting an explanation as to why this particular groups of Al Haramain USA documents that relates specifically to efforts to obtain the removal of sanctions against it were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5DVTERC

not produced in a timely manner and were instead held and produced even after the document production deadline.

THE COURT: But you did receive those documents now?

MR. CARTER: We did receive them; but your Honor had previously dealt with a range of motions about Al Haramain not producing documents in a timely manner. So we've been down this road before.

2.2

And given the subject matter of the documents that were produced belatedly, we unfortunately could see a reason why Al Haramain USA might have an incentive not to have produced this earlier, because, candidly, it likely would have resulted in efforts on our part to reach out to the U.N. and the U.S. to explain that the removal of sanctions could have adverse consequences to the rights and litigation interests of the 9/11 victims.

MR. KABAT: I think there are two separate issues. First of all, we did put in some documents they teared up. We then went back and looked at our earlier production and realized we had not supplemented that to the court filings in the Organ case.

And the other issue that they have raised is that the two individual officers of Al Haramain and Organ, what we did was all the documents that Al Haramain produced are essentially those that the individual officers would have produced if they had had access to those documents. So that's why the two SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

23 F5DVTERC individual officers are not producing anything further 1 themselves beyond what Al Haramain itself has produced. So as 3 far as we're concerned, there is really no further issue as to 4 the Al Haramain defendants. 5 THE COURT: Were you able to hear that? 6 MR. CARTER: I was able to hear it, your Honor, but 7 unfortunately I still don't think we have an answer to the 8 specific question as to why documents, some of which involve 9 communication from Mr. Kabat's firm relating to the delisting 10 request, weren't produced in a timely manner. 11 MR. KABAT: I'm sorry, I didn't quite get that. 12 THE COURT: He's asking why in particular documents 13 relating to the effort to have Al Haramain delisted were not 14 produced timely, fearing that it may prejudice the plaintiffs' 15 ability to say that Al Haramain should not be de-designated 16 because that might enable Al Haramain to disburse its remaining 17 funds to the prejudice of the plaintiffs. MR. KABAT: Al Haramain has been defunct for almost 12 18 19 years. It did not have access to the funds OFAC has, the 20 Office of --21 THE COURT: Let me just interrupt you for a second. 22 How much money are we talking about roughly? 23 MR. KABAT: I believe it's less than a quarter 24 million. THE COURT: Okay. 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

F5DVTERC

MR. KABAT: Also, with the caveat that we have several times asked OFAC for an accounting, we've never gotten one.

But we do not have control of those funds; OFAC does.

THE COURT: I understand your concern, Mr. Carter. But since you have the documents now, and presumably have your remedies with respect to the U.S. Government, I'm not sure what else I can usefully pursue with Mr. Kabat with respect to this issue.

MR. CARTER: Your Honor, it may be an issue we wish to raise with reference to some of the prior court orders about the timeliness of productions and what your Honor expected of them. So we're content to defer it.

THE COURT: Okay.

Anything else on the plaintiffs' side?

MR. CARTER: No, your Honor.
MR. GOLDMAN: No, your Honor.
MR. MALONEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything on the defendants' side?

MR. SALERNO: Your Honor, just a matter that's completely nonsubstantive. But I was wondering if your Honor would consider entering a blanket order in this case allowing counsel to bring tablets and laptops into these hearings. It would be a great convenience, and the rules are a little cumbersome.

THE COURT: I would consider it. The only problem is SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.